

Identity

Magazine of the British National Party

June 2006 - Issue 67 - £2.40



INVADED

...Now Even The Home Office Is
Full Of Asylum Seekers



June's News

» Tory Councillor Defects to BNP

A councillor and church leader who taught political philosophy and equal opportunities law to university students has announced his defection to the BNP. The Rev Robert West was recently suspended from being a Tory member of South Holland District Council in Lincolnshire after he spoke at a BNP meeting.

The 50-year-old said his switch was prompted by Conservative leader David Cameron's priority list of parliamentary candidates. Mr West told *Identity*: "I have decided to seek refuge from political correctness by applying for asylum with the British National Party - Britain's finest and most decent party - in our country's hour of need. The Conservative Party's list of candidates, which deliberately excludes white male candidates in favour of women, non-white and homosexual or lesbian candidates, is discrimination of the worst kind."

» Phoney 'Nationalists' of Plaid Cymru

Lord Dafydd Ellis Thomas, who is the equivalent of the speaker for the Welsh Parliament in Cardiff, said last month: "When I sit in my chair in our new debating chamber, I am ashamed when I look out and see before me a sea of white faces". By this it must be assumed that he is ashamed of seeing the Welsh in Wales.

But then again, Simon Thomas MP launched Plaid Cymru's last election campaign from a mosque in Cardiff, claiming Islam to be an integral part of Cymru.

As with Scots Nationalists, there is something phoney about so-called Welsh 'Nationalists'.

» London Cameras Show Institutional Racism

Britain's top cop, Sir Ian Blair, is

facing a race relations dilemma after figures revealed last month that almost half the people arrested in relation to car crime in London are black. A report from his traffic unit shows that black people account for 46% of all arrests generated by new automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) cameras. Have the cameras been checked for signs of institutional racism?

» Another 300,000 EU Workers in Britain?

According to MigrationWatch as many as 300,000 Romanian and Bulgarian workers could come to Britain by the end of 2008 if Labour continues its previous policy on European immigration and goes it alone in allowing unlimited access to labour.

We recognise that Britain is part of Europe, but next to Holland it is also the most overcrowded country. We do not have the room for any more immigrants, or cheap labour that holds down indigenous workers wages. Nor do we require work-shy Romanian gypsies or Bulgarian criminals, both of whom will be well represented in any influx from that area.

» Jobs Vanish - Unemployment Rises

With unemployment in Britain now over 5 per cent and heading towards two million, we are the only country in the G7 'top economy' group that has seen its jobless levels rise in the past year. With more and more manufacturing jobs "going east" (the latest being the thousand at Vauxhall's Ellesmere Port car plant), even the European Commission itself has warned that Britain's unemployment levels have soared, and will continue to rise this year.

This rather contradicts the claim made by all three old parties that we are dependent on immigrants "to fill vacant jobs."

» One in Five Children Non-White

One in five primary school children is from an ethnic minority, according to latest figures from the Department for Education and Skills. This is the biggest year on year increase for a decade.

Ethnic origin children now account for 18.7% of England's 6.7m primary and secondary school pupils, compared to 11% before Labour came to power. In primary schools alone this represents almost 690,000 pupils.

See Nationalist Notebook for further details on the fact that, unless immigration is drastically checked, this means that white Britons will be in a minority in 25 years.

» Labour Taxes More Pensioners

Help the Aged has revealed that the number of pensioners paying income tax has risen by 1.2 million since New Labour came to power. Nearly half the 11.1 million Britons over state pension age now pay income tax. A record 1.1 million of these have had to take full or part-time jobs in order to meet the growing bills, including the ever rising council tax.

It is a statement of the obvious that some of our pensioners' tax money has gone to supporting 3 million legal and illegal immigrants and their dependents who have arrived in the UK since 1997.

identity

Editor: John Bean
Design: Mark Collett
June 2006

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971
Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 87, Ossett,
Wakefield, WF5 8WN
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can publish. Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.
Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

A Europe of Nations

» I have always found something of interest in A. N. Wilson's regular column in the *Daily Telegraph*, even when it has been mainly devoted to a critique of the work of a lesser-known novelist. Not often given to political matters, his writings are even more interesting when he enters this particular arena.

A case in point was an article of his in the *London Evening Standard* of May 19th. In this he declared his support for repatriation of illegal immigrants, of which he said, "those who have studied the matter...say that it is almost certainly in the millions". It was not just immigration into Britain that had to be stopped, but into Europe as a whole. His article was particularly concerned with the invasion of Southern Spain by African immigrants arriving in a continuous armada of small boats. He did not mention the similar invasion that has been going on for over two years on the Italian island of Lampedusa, or the new Armada from West Africa arriving in the Canaries.

However, A. N. Wilson was under the illusion that the Spanish Government was sending these people back, because the Spanish Government now has repatriation agreements with 10 African countries. What he did not say, or was not aware of, was that only a minority of African migrants are actually sent back. Many are encouraged to move elsewhere into Europe, including to Britain. Nor did he mention that Zapatero's Socialist government in Spain last year removed half a million illegals off their books by making them legal citizens!

Wilson ended his article with this comment, which all *Identity* readers will empathise with: "While the politicians of three generations have failed us all by fearing to be labelled racists, they have allowed the effective dismantling and destruction of our civilisation. We approach 7th July, a tragedy brought about as a direct consequence of having in our midst boys who when they said "we" did not mean Britain or Europe, but meant those who literally want to blast Britain and Europe to bits." He then came out with the obligatory *Evening Standard* house rule of condemnation of the BNP when discussing immigration. "It is no wonder", he added, "that the people of Barking and elsewhere voted for the British National Party. But these nationalists, like Le Pen in France, with their blind hatred of Europe, will never solve the Europe-wide problem."

We strongly disagree, Mr Wilson. Although the energies of the BNP and the Front National are primarily devoted to preserving the identity and interests of the British and French people respectively, most of us (certainly your editor) consider that the fight is for the very soul of Europe. Wilson suggests that only if we embrace Europe as a nation can we get to grips with the fundamental problem of our time - third world immigration. This is, despite any good intentions, but an invitation to surrender all resistance to that Marxist inspired autocracy known as the European Union. Many good European nationalists, looking for independence from the headquarters of global capitalism, the USA, and the rising might of China - destined to control most of Asia - are fooled by the illusionary icing on the EU cake and think that



by working with fellow nationalists throughout Europe we can somehow purify the EU from its secret commissars and Brussels bureaucrats.

As Europeans we must destroy the EU and start again with pacts, alliances, favoured nations treaties, that recognise that what has made Europe great is the individuality of its nations, even though we stand on the shoulders of individual giants who were accepted by all those nations; such geniuses as Leonardo da Vinci, Shakespeare, Goethe, Beethoven, Voltaire and Frederick the Great, to name but a few. They came from the gene pool of the European people and no matter how intelligent the sons and daughters of new Asian or Arab migrants to Europe may be they will never be able to replicate our great European forbears.

Anglo-Saxon Origins

The defendants of the multicultural society are still attempting to brainwash our young people into believing that Britain was already a nation of immigrants before the mass Afro-Asian influx of the last fifty years. Most of our readers are already aware that the Angles, Saxons, Normans, Vikings, French Huguenot refugees, etc were but different tribes of a common stock, and all came from within a few hundred miles of each other. The reason why today's true Britons living near Cheddar Gorge carry the same genes as the ancient Neolithic inhabitants in that area is that modern genetic research has shown that there is virtually no difference from those found in the majority of modern day Danes, original Dutch and Germans, where the Anglo-Saxons came from, and also Northern French. We are the same people, moulded by our own histories to produce our national differences.

John Bean



Keeping The Ball Rolling

TARGET 100



» One hundred elected BNP councillors! Not so long ago it would have seemed a fantasy pipedream; now it's a serious and realistic target, says Nick Griffin. But it's not something that will just happen. In particular, it won't happen - at least, not next May - if we continue working at the same levels of energy, intelligence and efficiency that have given us our record 54 councillors. We have to up our game.

» Despite our big breakthrough in several areas, May 4th 2006 confirmed a tendency we've seen in previous local elections: In the places where it was clear a year or more ago that we had big potential, the opposition have been able to do enough work identifying and mobilising their vote to keep us out this time. Oldham - where keeping us off the council has become an Establishment fetish - is the classic example of this, but West Yorkshire and Dudley have also clearly suffered from this factor.

Conversely, our key tactic for expansion - encouraging 'bush fires' to spring up in new areas in which an effective BNP challenge is unexpected - also paid off, winning seats in places such as Pendle, Redditch, Solihull and Essex. Opening up new fronts, running good campaigns in more areas than our enemies can cope with, is one of the two main things we must do to keep the ball rolling and win another fifty seats.

The other is to strengthen our challenge in the areas where we are already knocking on the door of the council chamber. We now have around 100 seats where, in the last election in the areas concerned, we were in second place, very often fewer than a hundred votes behind the current incumbents, who are usually Labour.

While we undoubtedly benefited to an extent this time around from the Home Office foreign criminals scandal, there is a fair chance that, by next May, Blair & Co. will be reeling instead from

the impact of a significant economic downturn. That, however, could produce some upturn in the fortunes of Cameron's Torylites, so we cannot afford to sit back in the hope that external factors will hand us more seats on a plate.

The truth is that, in council elections, unless there is a local tidal wave of dissatisfaction with the party in charge (as in Barking and Dagenham this time around), the candidates who win are usually the candidates who have shown by their efforts on behalf of the local community that they deserve to win.

PUT DOWN ROOTS NOW


Fortunately, the opposition in most areas is sufficiently unfocused, lazy and incompetent that our very simple formula for growing local roots is enough to do the trick - provided it is

applied from now onwards, rather than being left to the last three or four months.

Understanding this is the key to the whole business: Local council seats are rarely won during the few weeks of the election campaign itself, or even in the months from January onwards. The public are not stupid, and recognise such pre-poll pushes for what they are. What really makes the winning difference is the grass-roots doorstep work that is done between now and December.

This six-month period before Christmas is the time in which doing things for local people and being seen in the area creates the reputation for selflessness and efficiency which makes the *Searchlight* gang's smears blow up in their ugly faces.

The first step should already have been taken by now, with 'Thank You for Voting BNP' leaflets going out in target



Nick Griffin talks to the press at the start of the recent election campaign

wards where there was an election this May. (Note that, even where you already know who our candidate will be next year you must not refer to him or her as such, or the leaflets will have to be counted in our permitted election expenditure. So instead of writing "Bill Smith, your BNP candidate for the next election", use a phrase like "Bill Smith, your local BNP community campaigner". And use plenty of photographs showing Bill doing good things for local residents).

Then you need to get a copy of the Marked Register from the Returning Officer at the town hall. This lists everyone who voted on May 4th. Almost as important, it shows those who didn't. If you already know people in the area I'm sorry to say that you'll be shocked at the number of the lazy, lying swine who promised faithfully to vote for you but who will have sat on their backsides and let you down.

You need to call on such people and chide them (gently!) for having let down themselves and their community by helping to get Labour re-elected. They'll be so shame-faced that you know that the chances are they'll never dare to stay at home on polling day ever again. This is the kind of work, solidifying the BNP vote by ones and twos here and there, that makes a difference of forty or fifty votes per ward. Given that fewer than ninety votes in total would have won us five more seats in Burnley alone, the need to be a bit pushy with our self-confessed supporters is clear.

The marked register is useful in other ways too: You'll notice that some entire families, and particularly many of the youngsters who are on average our biggest supporters, weren't even registered to vote last time. Make a list of these and go and see them in late September (put it on your calendar now!) to make sure they know to look out for their electoral registration forms when they arrive in October, and that they fill them in and post them. It's not difficult - millions of Labour voters manage it!

If you don't have the time to cover the whole ward the marked register also allows you to concentrate on the people who really matter in elections - those who vote. Provided we stood last time (because there is now a significant group who only vote for us and who boycott the proceedings if the BNP is not standing) the register's



Freedom is the most professional and most effective weapon for building public support that the British nationalist movement has ever had. It is not primarily a publication for BNP activists (though, of course, all should read it); it's designed to win over sympathetic members of the general public.

Freedom deliberately isn't 'hard-hitting' or 'hardline'. It puts over our case in plain, simple, moderate language that it's almost impossible for any normal person to disagree with. It presents the BNP as a rapidly growing, mainstream, family-friendly party, and it encourages 'ordinary' newcomers to get involved and make a difference.

But it is not to be used as a glorified leaflet. It's not just that it's too high quality and too expensive to give away. It's also a waste to have large numbers of unknown people nodding their heads in agreement with us. We don't need loose and disorganised sympathy scattered over a whole ward. We need detailed information about local issues that matter to perhaps no more than 20 or 30 families in one small area. And we need to find and win over future new local activists.

We need to develop 'eyes and ears' to cover every part of our target wards. We need to recruit new people who will take responsibility for spreading our message including by selling VoF - and mobilising our votes in their street or in their block of flats.

The great news is that selling *Freedom* door-to-door, and going back to your regulars each month, will achieve these seemingly difficult targets almost automatically. The only work other than knocking on doors and chatting to sympathetic residents is keeping a note of your customers and following up snippets of local intelligence. And, once your sales figures build up, banking the money - not least because many regular buyers soon become regular small-scale donors ("60p? Oh, keep the change.")

Any individual candidate or activist who sets to work to build up a regular door-to-door *Freedom* round now - and who sets aside a few evenings every month until next spring - will build up a solid block of several hundred regular sales in their ward by next May. That will give you a substantial guaranteed voting base, as well as giving you the in-depth knowledge of local issues you need to become an effective community campaigner.

crossed out list that shows who voted allows you to call on, and try to sell *Freedom* (see feature box above) to, only those who are seriously interested in politics.

When you sell to those who have already voted for us, you will reinforce

their commitment to the British National Party - perhaps even turning them from passive voters into active supporters. When you sell to those who have previously voted for another party there is a fair chance that the curiosity that sparks their initial



purchase will turn into sympathy for us when they read for themselves what we really stand for.

Either way, the combined paper sale/canvass that you are carrying out will mean that you identify the voters you need either to get to the polls next time, or to avoid wasting time on in future.

CLEAN UP TEAMS

While doing this you will quickly become aware of something in the ward which is crying out for a few hours' work by a BNP Community Clean Up Team. Perhaps a stream that's used as a rubbish dump, a playground littered with the dangerous litter left by junkies, or graffiti that needs painting out.

Get the high-viz jackets from Excalibur. Assemble a little team to do the job. Photograph the site before, during and after. Send the best pictures in to your local paper and, because the editor probably won't run the story, put them onto a newsletter leaflet of your own, tell the residents what you've done, and give them good old Bill Smith's phone number so they can let him know if anything else needs sorting out.

Each door-to-door *Freedom* seller should also carry a copy of the electoral register. Even people who don't want to buy a paper should be asked if they'd consider voting BNP at the next election? The answers - 'Yes', 'Possibly' or 'No' should be marked down accordingly. Thus, as already noted, door-to-door paper selling doubles up as a particularly easy form of canvassing, and come next May you'll have a massive list of potential BNP voters to call on and drag to the polls.

'Thank You' leaflets, a door-to-door *Freedom* round, two or three Community Clean Ups, a cheap and cheerful local newsletter leaflet to each household every couple of months, a prompt response to anyone who asks for help as a result. This basic list is all that needs to be done to turn you or your candidate from an outside politician grubbing for votes at election time into a highly regarded local community leader who stands every chance of romping home next May.

Realistically, we have to expect that the occasional misfortune will cost us a few of our 54 seats before next May.



Equally, however, we may win a few more by-elections, or win over a few more defectors from the other parties. Roughly speaking, therefore, we need to win another 50 seats next May to achieve our next big milestone of holding 100 seats.

In order to stand a remotely realistic chance of achieving Target 100 (given especially that there are no council elections in our London heartland areas for another four years) we have to enter the final stage of next year's campaign with a good national spread of 100 winnable seats which have been worked by local teams to the blueprint laid out here.

Of course, we can't hope to win them all. But targeting 100 seats will not only stretch the far-left smear campaigners beyond breaking point. It also allows for particularly effective opposition councillors, messed up nomination papers, bits of plain bad luck and all the other local quirks that can leave us thirty or forty votes off winning. Hence Target 100 in terms of seats with proper eleven month community roots campaigns dovetails perfectly with Target 100 in terms of our overall tally of seats held.

But while I can tell you what to do, and while we will be running training days and producing the *Freedom*s, leaflet templates and other odds and sods that make up the tools for the job, the work at grass roots level can only be done by the people reading this. It really is up to you and perhaps just three or four other people in your area.

There's no point talking about it at your next meeting and asking for thirty

volunteers. Whether you get them to raise their hands or not on the night, that's the last you'll see of most of them. Most of all, don't bother with the big talkers and the "Whatever It Takes" lager-fuelled 'hardliners'.

THE REALLY COMMITTED

Get together quietly with the really committed few; the ones who are really hungry to be councillors or to help get other people elected, the ones who really want to win. Applying this tried-and-tested blueprint to a good ward in an area where we already have a good base of support will give even a single individual a real chance of winning a seat next May or the year after. Given a team of three or four to do the job, it wouldn't hurt to put a few quid on it.

There is a new spirit abroad in the land. Things that most people thought impossible just a few years ago are being openly spoken of. To some British politics is becoming a nightmare. To us, it's a dream coming true.

Make no mistake, there's a long way to go, and with everything that the massively powerful opposition forces are going to throw at us over the coming months we are bound to have to endure some disappointments and setbacks. But we've already learned that we can weather such storms, pick ourselves up when we've been knocked back, and overcome the next step of obstacles in our way. We know we're winners, we're on a roll. Let's get out there and do the work we need to do to keep the BNP ball rolling.

Being British Means He's Guilty

» Kevin Hughes, Worcester Organiser and one of the BNP's hardest working activists, has been jailed for two-and-a-half years in an outrageous miscarriage of justice.

Just days after helping to get David Enderby elected as Councillor in nearby Redditch, Kevin faced a trumped-up charge of "racially aggravated assault". The case arose when an asylum-seeker was offending regulars in Kevin's 'local'. Kevin asked the man where he came from, whereupon the Iraqi complained to the police.

His allegation that Kevin had assaulted him was made without a shred of evidence. Neither man had so much as a mark on his body and the asylum-seeker was shown to be lying in court.

The prosecution broke their undertaking not to mention Kevin's involvement with the BNP, and the jury chose to take the word of the Iraqi rather than their fellow countryman.

A request for an adjournment for a pre-sentencing report was dismissed out of hand, despite the fact that Kevin has a business to run and had no previous convictions.

The judge accepted that there had been no physical assault, but went on to say that society couldn't have people going around verbally abusing asylum-seekers. He then sentenced Kevin to a shocking two-and-a-half years in prison.

Not only is this a shattering blow to Kevin and his family, it is also a major loss to the BNP. In addition to his sterling work in his own area, Kevin Hughes is rightly respected for the dedication with which he attends national events and by-election campaigns all over the country. To make up for his absence, it is going to take several people reading this to feel angry enough to increase their own efforts for the Cause while he is so unjustly imprisoned

TIME BOMB

The British Establishment clearly knows that it is sitting on a racial conflict time bomb of its own making.

The answer from the Powers That Be should be to admit that those who have warned about the dangers of mass immigration have been right all along, and to take steps to reverse the slide to disaster. Unfortunately, these people are so caught up in their egalitarian multi-culti fantasy that, just like their economic Marxist counterparts in the old Soviet Union, they are incapable of changing tack.

So instead of listening to those who are being proven right, they persecute us. Instead of obeying the flashing orange warning signs, they are stepping on the accelerator of their genocidal social engineering project in order to jump the lights even as they turn red. Instead of reappraisal, they are turning to repression.

The recent warning by Commission for Racial Equality boss Trevor Phillips of widespread racial violence in Britain this summer will be taken by the media, the police and the judiciary as an implied order to pull out all the stops to keep the lid on the pressure cooker.



Kevin with Sharon Ebanks outside court earlier this year in Leeds

Hence this verdict, and a number of other recent highlighted cases involving non-political members of the public who have also been severely punished for the crime of being white and involved in some kind of incident with automatically innocent 'ethnics'.

At times like these, it is not being in the BNP that is the risk or the crime; it is simply being a native 'Brit'.

In any and every one of the clashes foreseen by the CRE's overpaid Commissar, the police will be acting on orders from on high to arrest at least as many natives as immigrants - whoever and whatever those immigrants may be. As we saw in Wrexham a couple of years ago, the crowds which will be baton-charged will be our people. The individuals targeted by snatch squads and exemplary sentences in special courts will be our people.

BNP activists will face even harsher sentences - but only if they put themselves at risk in the first place. The answer is to avoid the risk. Don't even stand around to watch other people involved in anything that could become a confrontation. Don't answer back, even when you're in the right. Remember, in any kind of altercation with any kind of immigrant you are automatically guilty in the eyes of the State and its servants. The only defence is to get out of the area as quickly as possible.

Kevin Hughes is in prison because he is one of us; being a native of our islands made him guilty. So we're guilty, one and all. The injustice is bitter, as will be the long fight to bring it to an end.

We are still waiting to speak to Kevin in person before we can decide what sort of campaign to launch on his behalf. In the meantime, keep an eye on the website for any change of address but, for now, please send cards and letters of support to:

XB429 Kevin Hughes, HMP Blakenhurst, Hewell Lane, Redditch, B97 6QS



June 2006

Elections

Richard Barnbrook addresses the media after the first full council meeting in Barking

BNP Local Elections Success Gives Hope For The Future

» On 4th May we obtained by far the best set of election results ever for a nationalist party in this country to give us 53 (which rose to 54 when Cllr. Rev. West crossed over from the Tories) Councillors, with our 356 candidates obtaining an average vote of 18.5%. On a party percentage basis, where only one BNP candidate stood in multiple seat wards, that percentage is as high as 24.8% for the London average. However, Eddy Butler, BNP National Elections Officer, says that before we get carried away a note of caution should be sounded.

» The results in big set piece elections, such as the General Election, the European Parliamentary Elections or to a lesser extent the annual round of local elections are primarily due to factors outside our control. This year, for us almost uniquely, these factors acted benevolently. We are more used to facing malignant factors that depress our vote.

Local elections attract much less media attention than the General Election for example and to a much greater extent, the work you do locally can affect the result. This led to our strategy being based on fighting a reasonably large number of seats - although we never intended to push for the absolute maximum number of seats that we could have fought - with each unit selecting a target seat in which the majority of their efforts would be focused. This would enable us to maximise our vote in selected seats where we could potentially make localised breakthroughs, irrespective of what the national media said or didn't say. Fighting a reasonably large number of seats would give our overall campaign credibility and also mask where our main efforts were targeted.

However, the massive level of media coverage enjoyed by the party in the run up to the May elections drastically changed the picture. This media coverage was not in the main exactly positive, but it did present the party as a major force to be reckoned with. We were presented as potential winners.

Our enemies say, 'Oh the BNP only did well because they received extensive press coverage'. Well if there was a level playing field and all parties got equal press coverage that was all equally neutral, then I wonder, 'how well might we do?' The answer of course is very well indeed. Of course we don't live in that fairy tale land. We live in a country where we may once in a blue moon get extensive, but universally hostile press coverage (let's be honest, when we see a 'good' piece, what we really mean is a news item that is not absolutely rabidly hostile). So let's not be apologetic for receiving extensive coverage and also let's not be afraid from admitting: yes, that coverage resulted in an increase in our average vote, 18.5%, and a number of extra seats being won. If we had received extensive and positive coverage (a fairy tale again) then probably all of our candidates would have been elected!

THE BARKING & DAGENHAM FACTOR

I will demonstrate the effect of the media coverage. In London for example we unexpectedly gained two seats (with two excellent and deserving local councillors - Julian Leppert and Alan Bailey), one each in Redbridge and Havering. Both were local branch targets but not regional targets. The expectation was that we would get a reasonable result in each seat, but only the most hopeful - I

would have said totally unrealistically hopeful - observer would have harboured any expectation of victory. No activists from other areas were tasked with helping these branches with their campaigns. Indeed the activists from the branches concerned were tasked with helping other near by target seats when time allowed!

The wards were undoubtedly well chosen by the local branches, for the social mix and so on, but the main reason they were won was because they were close to Barking and Dagenham - the focus for media attention. The further from Barking and Dagenham the less the effect. Although the Party gained considerable nationwide coverage, electors identify much more closely with the Party when they live close to the focal point of that media coverage.

In previous years, the media's attention on the BNP has been focused on the North - the Lancashire Mill Towns or West Yorkshire. In terms of votes, unsurprisingly, the North benefited from this publicity to a much greater extent than the South and this was reflected in the Party's regional electoral performance.

This time around we just about held our own in the North where our results were marginally up on average but were nothing like as good as those in the South and the West Midlands. Labour also did relatively well in the northern towns and cities. These two factors, Labour's vote holding up and the geographic distance from east London, held us back in the North.

In the South, where the Tories did well, our vote was suppressed, for example in Broxbourne, Southend, Basildon and most parts of Southern region. We did well in the South in Labour areas, probably by taking some disenchanted Labour votes and by monopolising the working class Tory vote, as the Tories were not the local credible opposition in those areas, whereas we were, thanks to the levels of publicity we had received.

Our target seat strategy had mixed results. Often this was because our campaigns were under resourced. Target seats are very labour intensive. For example we failed to win the Thurrock target seat by 16 votes, but the campaign was conducted with tiny numbers of activists

which meant that whipping in on Polling Day was virtually non-existent and several polling districts had no re-knocks and only a couple of sweeps. We failed to win the Broxbourne target seat by 50 votes, but didn't have the manpower to do any re-knocks properly. We failed to win the Heanor target seat by a few votes, but some East Midlands activists chose to spend time campaigning in West Midlands non-target seats! In London and the south activists went native and wouldn't travel out of their areas or were drawn to Barking and Dagenham.

This became understandable the more publicity we gained as it became apparent that unexpected victories on the back of the media coverage would be possible if there was the semblance of a campaign behind it. Thus the victories in Redbridge, Havering and of course Barking and Dagenham. In Heanor, Thurrock and Broxbourne the enemy knew our targets and could co-ordinate their efforts against us. This was the case in Broxbourne, for example, where we had two anti leaflets, hostile telephone polling and a hard Tory campaign and we only fought two seats as it is a small branch (although the percentage 41.6 was one of the highest and higher than many winning percentages).

FIGHT ON A BROAD FRONT

Chris Beverley's win in Morley (Leeds City Council) shows that the best approach is to fight on a broad front, with many candidates to hide the thrust a bit and to give credibility to the detailed local campaign. The three Epping seats also show that fighting the target strategy on a wider basis is the way ahead - to swamp out the enemy's efforts. Three wards was too much for the enemy to cope with, plus we had good councillors and regular local campaigns - the ideal combination of factors which resulted in increased votes and three new councillors.

If we look through the media puff and examine our vote, then we can honestly admit that we would have won precious few seats without it. We must realise this for next year. This level of media puff is very unlikely to replicate itself. We must get many many more proper target seats off the ground - many bush fires. We must also stand the maximum number of candidates all over the place to confuse the opposition and give us extra credibility. However, with this diffusion must come discipline. Our activists must not regard political campaigning as a species of tourism. One week going to help in one area, one week in another as the fancy takes them, for a change of scenery perhaps. They must conform to the tactical plan and allow local organisers to plan their campaigns properly as they will be able to rely on help. Also, by targeting their assistance to one area activists are more effective as they will learn the local issues better.

Over the forthcoming year we must fight hard and properly a large number of council by-elections and seek to have a string of victories to keep our momentum going. We should fight a promising Parliamentary by-election - provided one comes up - to prove ourselves at that level.

We must ensure that maximum effort is put into providing support for our councillors and none should be sidetracked to other duties. That would be fatal. The key area for this is our large group in Barking and Dagenham, where the very success itself will create unfamiliar

LEEDS TOWN HALL - Now with BNP representation





June 2006

Elections

Eight of our twelve new Councillors meet with Nick Grffin in the Civic Centre in Barking



management problems that will require regular work. Poor councillors or those not doing their job properly are fatal and must not be allowed to happen. In the past lip service has been paid to this problem. It is our absolute duty as a Party to address this issue with the utmost seriousness now. Regular regional councillor meetings are essential, with the leading Regional Officials, to knit them into the party, to give support to lone councillors and to ensure that larger blocks do not go off on their own.

OUR COUNCILLORS WILL GET FLACK

To be fair our councillors are judged by a much higher standard than those of any other party. This is in a way a back-handed compliment. The Establishment parties are chocked full of councillors who hardly turn up to any meetings, never speak, never do any ward work, get

convicted for thuggery, for theft, for rape - the lot! Our councillors and candidates are almost like a collection of choir boys by comparison.

Small parties that break into the relative 'big time' by having councillors elected always go through a 'birth pang' period, when candidates who do not expect to get elected are elected and some do a poor job. It is so much easier to be a new Conservative councillor in a local authority with a dozen experienced sitting councillors. At the same time our councillors potentially come under all sorts of other pressure, at work and at home. But our multi-headed opposition is never fair. We must realise that and always act accordingly - we must be whiter than white. We must be better than the best Establishment councillor. One other note of caution - our Regional Nominating Officers must and will take great care over who they allow to be candidates.

If we can get media hype next year - and the best way will be on the back of sustained political success (i.e. election victories gained through hard work) - then by having a combined target ward and maximum number of candidates as part of overall strategy, we can maximise our gains. In any case we cannot and must not think that we will get large scale publicity. We must assume that we will get none and plan to make gains by the sweat of our own backs. We must start preparing now for next year's local elections.

Freedom must be sold door to door in every target ward as was done successfully in Morley and Epping Forest. We must start campaigning locally on a whole range of issues. Our unexpected gains have opened the door for us and it is incumbent on all of us to do all we can to keep that door open.

The Falange and the Fight for Spain


» **Bill Baillie gives a personal and informative view on the origins of the Spanish Civil War and suggests why some Spanish nationalists are again looking to the Falange.**

» Jose Antonio Primo de Rivera was born in Madrid in 1903. He was the son of General Miguel Primo de Rivera the military dictator of Spain from 1923 to 1930. He studied law at Madrid and following his graduation and military service he founded the Falange in 1933. In 1934 the Falange united with the like-minded National Syndicalists to form the FE-JONS coalition that became known as the National Movement.

The National Syndicalists were founded by Ramiro Ledesma to fight for social justice within a Christian culture. The Popular Front government was an alliance of Socialists and Communists similar to the regime in France but heavily influenced by anarchists.

In 1936 they arrested him; it is said that when the

General Franco was hardly a democrat, but he saved and Western Europe from Communism. His failure the national revolutionary policies of Jose Antonio dubbed a 'sell-out' by many in the Falange.





British members of the Communist International Brigade

Republican Militia came to take him out to be shot, Ledesma boldly announced that he would not allow the time and place of his death to be decided by insignificant scum. He then attacked them with such fury that they were forced to kill him there and then.

Jose Antonio was elected to the Cortes in June 1936, a month before the murder of the Catholic Party leader Calvo Sotelo; the event that sparked General Franco's historic revolt that became the Spanish Civil War. Under a republican ordinance known as "the general liquidation of the Right" Jose Antonio was arrested. On November 18th he wrote:

"Condemned to death yesterday, I pray to God that if he

does not spare me from coming to that last trial, he may preserve in me up to the end the seemly submission with which I contemplate it, and in judging my soul he may apply to it not the measure of my merits but that of his infinite mercy."

On the morning of 20th November he was executed by firing squad in Alicante; one of 55,000 nationalist prisoners murdered by the Popular Front. Thereafter Jose Antonio became the spiritual leader of the Nationalists, known as "El Ausente" the absent one.

He lies beside General Franco in Valle de los Caídos. By a strange coincidence the General also died on 20th November; a date with great significance for nationalists.

By the time of General Franco's victory in 1939 half a million had died, including; 200,000 Spanish Nationalists, 4,000 Italian Blackshirts, 300 Germans and over 1,000 other anti-communist volunteers, mostly from France and Ireland. Volunteers who survived the war included Andrew Fountaine, the veteran nationalist campaigner and writer who helped found the National Front in 1967. Another was the British poet Roy Campbell.

On the other side an equal number died including thousands of Red Army soldiers and airmen sent by Joseph Stalin to support the Popular Front forces, and thousands of International Brigade fighters.

SMASHING THE REDS

Against all the odds General Franco smashed the Soviet-backed Red Republic and saved Spain from communism. But the advanced social policies of the National Movement were never implemented. Franco was forced to adopt pragmatic policies to rebuild a shattered economy. When Franco died in 1975 he left King Juan Carlos a rejuvenated country. Autonomy has since been granted to Catalonia and the Basque region and Spain is flourishing as never before. The millions of tourists who visit Spain every year probably never realize that this beautiful country was nearly lost to communism.

But this prosperity is acting like a magnet to the impoverished refugees from Morocco and Black Africa. They are being smuggled into Spain via the Canaries and the Spanish enclaves in North Africa by highly organised people-trafficking gangs. These people are so desperate to get into Europe that they will risk their lives trying.

Once again it is the Falange that is fighting to save the nation. The Socialists and the Centre Right are spouting the same fallacious liberal nonsense that is state policy in America and throughout Europe. The same lies about the need for immigrant labour and the benefits of a multicultural society.

But the Falange is organising and demonstrating against the relentless invasion that is driving crime and turning parts of Spanish cities into no-go areas. At the biggest rally seen in Spain since the Eighties some 20,000 Falangists demonstrated against Prime Minister Zapatero on April 17th this year.

The fight against communism in Spain in the Thirties ended in victory for the forces which Falangism did so much to inspire. Let us hope that the new fight for European civilisation in Spain will once again be victorious. In Spain as in Britain, the sacrifices of the heroic past must not be in vain.

ed Spain
to implement
saw him





Asylum Invaders & the Anti-British Fifth Column



» David Hamilton says that the claim by illegal immigrants that they are seeking 'asylum' is as false as the use of 'human rights' legislation is to prevent them being expelled. He then looks in detail at the enormous cost to the taxpayer that immigration now presents.

» Under the 1951 Geneva Convention on the Status of Refugees, a refugee, now more commonly called an 'asylum seeker,' is defined as follows:

"[One who] owing to well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside the country of his nationality and is unable, or owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself of the protection of that country; or who, not having a nationality and being outside the country of his former habitual residence as a result of such events, is unable or, owing to such fear, is unwilling to return to it."

It follows that such a person should seek 'asylum', in the nearest safe country to that from which he has fled.

This principle is even stated explicitly by both the UK Government and the European Union - even though the EU is largely to blame for Britain's current asylum crisis through forcing us by means of traitorous successive governments effectively to dismantle our border controls under the invidious Schengen Agreement.

Nevertheless, according to the UK and the EU:

"The needs of people fleeing persecution in their own countries should be met as far as possible in the nearest safe country to the one from which they are fleeing."²

"Right of asylum:"³

"Anyone who meets the criteria laid

down in the 1951 Geneva Convention on refugees has the right of asylum in the first safe country they reach."

According to the principle of the first safe country and except for refugees fleeing Nazi-occupied Europe in WW2⁵, it is unlikely that Britain has received any genuine asylum seekers since the Huguenot refugees of the late 17th century⁶.

In essence, the current asylum situation is not about providing a safe haven from persecution for anyone (especially not ordinary white Britons). It is one more stratagem for destroying white Anglo-Saxon-Celtic Britain, devised by the same globalist gang that played a role in instigating the world wars to destroy Britain via armed force and now threatens her via the EU 'golden garrotte' that has been instrumental in precipitating the present distress as indicated above.

Especially insofar as many immigrants enter Britain via the EU superstate, which is quite happy to dump its immigration problems on Britain⁸ as even the notorious New Labour *capo* Peter Hain has declared. See Adrian Hilton's definitive work, *The Principality and Power of Europe* ISBN 0-9518386-2-8, for further details, especially with respect to how successive post-war British governments have been treasonably complicit in the above globalist strategy, Peter Hain's outburst notwithstanding.

It is instructive therefore to 'name

and shame' that part of the British Establishment that is directly responsible for the present asylum invasion and explore what that invasion means for ordinary Britons.

FIFTH COLUMN

The organisations responsible for asylum incomers are two arms of the Home Office, the Immigration and Nationality Directorate, or IND, and the National Asylum Support Service or NASS. These bodies take their charter from the 1951 Geneva Convention - see above.

Asylum seekers apply to the IND either at their port of entry on arrival or from wherever they are residing in this country if they have already arrived. Foreign and Commonwealth Office attempts to deter bogus asylum seekers at their overseas points of departures have been blocked by 'human rights' groups - also part of the Fifth Column.

IND officials then interview the asylum seeker, who fills out a "Statement of Evidence" form to present his case for asylum. An interpreter is provided "free of charge", i.e. chargeable to you, the taxpayer. The IND officials then decide if the case is genuine. If the case is successful, the asylum seeker becomes eligible for support via the NASS. If the case fails, the seeker can appeal. Appellants have to be housed and sustained while the appeal is

processed, via the NASS, so it's a win-win situation for the aspiring economic migrant posing as asylum seeker.

The NASS then instructs local councils about what to provide asylum seekers with when they are dispersed into their areas. Ashley Mote⁸ reveals why this dispersal has taken place, with reference to locales on both sides of the Channel Tunnel, in our case near Dover.

"Tourism to the area halved, house prices plummeted, and shopkeepers effectively waged war to protect their properties and stock against pilfering and damage. Girls cannot go out for fear of their safety. Some of the locals still live permanently behind locked doors while others have upped and left."

This is a semi-apocalyptic horror now being spread across Britain. It is clear why the rest of the EU wants to dump it on us.

The Government's response is to make it as easy as possible for asylum seekers to get into Britain and propagate the horror⁷, by means of:

The employment of more IND officials;

Fast tracking a significant proportion of cases;

Allocating more money for legal aid and recruiting more interpreters for the court system.

All the above is at your expense. (Remember that successive governments since WW2 have been committed to Britain's total absorption into the EU. That is why Blair and his predecessors have worked overtime to destroy Britain. See Hilton's book for details.)

Note that the Home Office does not rigorously observe the principle of the 'first safe country'. The IND gets round this principle by defining a 'third safe country':

"'Safe third countries' are designated by the UK government as those in which individuals can safely seek asylum. If someone claims a fear of persecution in one country, but arrives in the UK from a 'safe third country', they may be sent back to the 'safe third country' to claim asylum there. The following are designated safe third countries by the UK government; member states of the EU, Canada, Norway, Switzerland and the USA."

So asylum seekers violating the 'first safe country' principle "may be sent back to the 'safe third country' to claim asylum there."

Or they may not be.

Mote⁸ reveals that very likely they may not be.

PEOPLE TRAFFICKERS

People traffickers assist large numbers of immigrants into this country from safe areas of the EU, in exchange for large sums of money, and provide them with helpful questions to ask IND officials like: "Can you direct me to the nearest benefits office?" "How long will my claim take?" "Where is my new house?" "When can I get my first benefit money?" "When can the rest of my family join me?"

The traffickers advise the incomers to discard all proof of identity before entry and assume an alias in case their names are already on the British system, also to use super glue to

damage and distort fingerprints if they are making a second attempt, because fingerprints are taken when the asylum claim is made.

Once a claim for asylum is lodged, the claimant then has a right to stay while it is processed. This step greatly enhances his prospects of staying compared to outright admission that he is simply an 'economic migrant' - though of course incomers from the enlarged EU, so popular with employers for keeping wages low, are not subject to this restriction.

Mote says further that many illegal immigrants disappear into the community, presumably to get jobs in the 'black' economy thereby undermining indigenous workers further, or turn to crime - at which, like the people traffickers, they are often most adept.

The rest, according to Mote, "take full advantage of the British legal process...They are given access to free legal aid, and good advice about a system...which they know works - however slowly - in their favour."

But not in yours. And it isn't free for you either.

Let us therefore consider the costs of this 'system'. It will steadily bankrupt and destroy Britain. That is what the asylum invasion means for you, and yours. And, as stated earlier, this destruction of Britain is just what her enemies - and yours - want. The evidence shows that they have every reason to feel encouraged.

THE COSTS

Crime - As indicated, many (but not all) asylum entrants turn to crime. On a pro-rata basis, ethnic crime already costs approximately £6 billion a year. (Britain has become a lawless country in the last 50 years, sadly). But this cost will doubtless accelerate rapidly and disproportionately, given the propensity of some immigrants for serious crime¹⁰, including people smuggling, drug trafficking, benefit and credit card fraud, robbery, prostitution, etc.

This is without the probable cost of further 7/7 style atrocities in the future, perpetrated by asylum seekers or offspring of asylum seekers, like the 21/7 gang. It must be assumed, realistically, that further such atrocities will take place, with the attendant costs in life, limb and finance.

As Home Secretary, Charles Clarke, like David Blunkett, did nothing to reduce asylum numbers.



Legal, Housing and Support - MigrationWatch data reveal that the legal, housing and support costs for asylum is about £2 billion per year. It will increase. See below.

Healthcare - Asylum seekers receive free NHS healthcare, i.e. they don't pay National Insurance contributions for it like you and I. Moreover, according to government policy, anyone arriving from overseas with a dangerous illness, such as TB or AIDS- which many immigrants are now importing, has a right to stay here permanently and receive free NHS help for as long as they live⁶.

Mote rightly cites a couple of pertinent questions⁶, *"Are we now running a free health service for the whole world, perhaps...the International Health Service? Or does the "National" bit merely apply to those of us who are expected to pay for it?"*

The healthcare cost⁸ is about as much as the legal, housing and support costs, giving a total cost at present of around £4 billion a year, at a minimum, for asylum alone and £10 billion a year if ethnic crime is included.

Housing and Support Benefits - Asylum seekers get for free a fully refurbished house, with cooker, fridge, bedding, vacuum cleaner, crockery and kitchen pans.

The garden is tidied the grass mown and windows cleaned for free.

They get free gas, water, electricity and food parcels for as long as they need (presumably until they are allowed to work here). Again, it is free for them, not you.

They get an additional £40 a week for expenses if single and over 25 (the amount varies with age and single/couple/family status). This is quite a substantial sum of 'pocket money' if you don't have to spend any on basic necessities, though it is possibly supplemented by the 'black' economy and crime. Again, the taxpayer (you and I) bears the ultimate cost of all these benefits - and that of the crime.

Future Costs - About 300,000 'legal' immigrants come in each year and about 100,000 'illegals' giving 400,000 in total¹³. Unless imported as cheap labour from the enlarged EU, most claim asylum and the number of



Jack Straw was Blair's first Home Secretary and led the way in opening the floodgates to illegal immigration. Later, as Foreign Secretary, he allowed the influx of East European cheap labour

incomers will doubtless increase.

Most come, often via the EU, from Asia, Africa and the Middle East, from countries with a combined population of nearly 3 billion¹³. So there is no shortage of potential incomers and the rate does not seem to be slowing down. No reason why it should. After all, Britain is a 'soft touch'. And this is on top of the 75 million eastern Europeans who are all eligible to fetch up here via the enlarged EU, according to Jack Straw⁸.

The net annual influx will require a new city the size of Birmingham (population nearly one million) every two to three years¹³ and the cost to the taxpayer⁸ will be £125 billion over the next 20 years. This is the housing cost alone. John Prescott is already committed to transforming Leicestershire into one vast housing estate.

If we take the housing figure as £6 billion a year on average and add in the healthcare costs, plus legal and other support costs, the yearly cost for immigrants claiming asylum - without the ethnic crime cost - could easily be £10 billion a year. This is sheer extravagance because immigrants

don't contribute (at present, according to MigrationWatch) more than about £500,000 a year to the exchequer.

White indigenous Britons in work could easily make that small contribution. It would be a minimal price to pay for shedding our current asylum/immigration/ethnic burden.

To cite Mote again⁸, *"Most immigrants do not pay substantial sums in tax...but they and their dependents meanwhile add substantially to the strain on the public purse."*

And if the increasingly disproportionate cost of ethnic crime is included, the £10 billion annual figure above would significantly exceed £16 billion - again, billed to you and me.

But while Britain continues to be a soft touch, the annual influx of asylum invaders must soon exceed 500,000 a year and will keep going up. That influx is already having serious demographic effects, especially amongst the young and in the nation's capital.

Mote⁸ estimates that 6.5 million ethnic minority members, or over 10% of the population, are already resident

UK whites will soon be in the minority



in Britain, of whom an estimated one million⁸ are 'illegals'. With unceasing and indeed accelerating immigration, it is easy to see white Anglo-Saxon-Celtic Britons becoming a minority in our own country by 2060⁹.

This is already happening in London schools. Here, the overall figure for pupils classed as white British is about 40%, with inner London as low as 25%.

Yet, even nationwide, only about 80% of primary and secondary school children are classed as White British. The minority is thus substantial. It is growing rapidly and as the foregoing shows, it does not have your country's best interests at heart.

This problem is not a projection. It is a present reality.

YOUR FUTURE

In a word, bleak - and that is perhaps being optimistic. Unless you are prepared to support the British National Party's stance on these matters. The following action we must take is given in the BNP's 2005 Election Manifesto:

"On current demographic trends, we, the native British people, will be an ethnic minority in our own country within sixty years.

"To ensure that this does not happen, and that the British people retain their homeland and identity, we call for an immediate halt to all further immigration, the immediate deportation of criminal and illegal immigrants, and the introduction of a system of voluntary resettlement whereby those immigrants who are legally here will be afforded the opportunity to return to their lands of

ethnic origin assisted by a generous financial incentives both for individuals and for the countries in question.

"We will abolish the 'positive discrimination' schemes that have made white Britons second-class citizens."

"We will also clamp down on the flood of 'asylum seekers', all of whom are either bogus or can find refuge much nearer their home countries."

The time to stand your ground is now. All too soon, you may not have any left to stand on.

References

1. www.unhchr.ch/html/menu3/b/o_c_ref.htm
2. House of Commons - Home Affairs - First Report, www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm200001/cmselect/cmhaff/163/16314.htm
3. EUROPA - Justice and Home Affairs Glossary, http://europa.eu.int/comm/justice_home/glossary/wai/glossary_r_en.htm
4. The founding members of the EU; Belgium, France, Germany, Holland and Luxembourg, signed the Schengen Agreement in 1985, abolishing border control between them. The agreement became part of EU Law under the Amsterdam Treaty of 1997. See *Overcrowded Britain*, by Ashley Mote, p 15, 24, 102-3.
- As a signatory to Amsterdam, Britain is effectively committed to an 'open door' policy on immigration from across the Channel via Schengen - even though such a policy is contradictory to the ethos of the 1951
- Geneva Convention on the Status of Refugees and the attendant policies on asylum from both the UK Government and the EU. See above.
5. These were mostly young men of military age who joined the forces when they reached this country, or arrived here following the evacuation of Dunkirk; Belgians, Czechs, Dutch, Free French, Norwegians, Poles etc. Many served loyally with the RAF, No. 10 Inter-Allied Commando, General Philippe Leclerc's 2nd Free French Armoured Division, the 1st Polish Parachute Brigade and the Princess Irene's Dutch Brigade. The contrast between them and today's asylum invaders is considerable.
6. Refugee - Wikipedia - the Free Encyclopedia. Louis XIV signed the Edict of Fontainebleau in 1685, which outlawed Protestantism in France. 200,000-500,000 French Protestants, known as Huguenots, fled to England, the Netherlands, Switzerland, Norway, Denmark and Prussia. Both Louis's confessor, Père de La Chaise SJ and his wife, Mme de Maintenon, a strong advocate of Protestant persecution, urged the king to take this step, on the grounds that he could expiate his profligacies by massacring the Huguenot 'heretics.'
7. www.paih.org/archive/reports/responding_to_the_needs_of_asylu.htm
8. *Overcrowded Britain*, p.19, by Ashley Mote, 2003
9. Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council, for example, www.blackburn.gov.uk/server.php?show=ConWebDoc.4570
10. *The Times*, February 21st 2005.
11. Home Office Research Study 217, The economic and social costs of crime
12. British terror trail leads back to Africa's Horn of Anarchy, Timesonline, July 31st 2005, www.timesonline.co.uk
13. An Overview of UK Migration, FAQs, Is There a Problem?, Migration Watch UK
14. www.bnp.org.uk/whistleblowers/wb_bury1.htm
15. KS01 Usual resident population Census 2001, www.statistics.gov.uk/StatBase/Expodata/Spreadsheets/D8271.xls
16. 65,000 new houses for Leicestershire, *Voice of Freedom*, Issue No. 69
17. School Statistics for England, January 2004



The End of Our Freedom?

» Ian Buckley looks at some of the latest Governmental actions that are diminishing our freedom.

» In the aftermath of the acquittals in the Free Speech case, it is tempting to assume that large remnants of our traditional freedom still remain in this country. But we should always remember that this was a trial that should not have been, a legal show that wasted hundreds of thousands of pounds of taxpayers' money and - more importantly - cost the life of John Tyndall who was struck down by the associated stress and strain.

If this had happened to opposition politicians in the last days of communist Poland, there would have been a glossy, sympathetic, nay tear-jerking, film detailing the horrid suppression of freedom by a corrupt and vindictive establishment. 'Cowboy' is a mild term for this bunch of governmental termagants, though it is maybe rather apt, seeing as some of them are very keen on seeing 'the Loan Arranger'.

How does the old saying go? *"If you fear to speak out, you are already a slave."*

The mainstream media which played such a large part in bringing about the trial always likes to crow about our alleged freedom, and contrasts our situation with that prevailing in other countries which are supposedly more repressive. But is the reality quite different?

In 1910 you could walk into an ironmonger's store in any small county town and purchase a shotgun over the counter with no identification and no questions asked. Can you imagine that kind of situation today?

It would seem that successive governments are very afraid of allowing their law-abiding British citizens to exercise their freedom to bear arms, which existed from ancient times until around 1920. Now contrast that 'democratic' restriction with the lack of regulations in Saddam's Iraq.

Though presented as a universal bad guy, Saddam did allow Iraqis to buy firearms over the counter. That was tyranny, they say, while we supposedly are lucky enough to have one of the oldest democracies in the world. Is the truth maybe not as that presented by our managed, state-controlled BBC?

FREEDOM REMOVED BIT BY BIT

Our freedom is removed bit by bit, in a continuing and insidious process. Take the Government's recent bright idea - to suggest that those responsible for 'anti-social behaviour' (undefined) should be evicted from their homes. While this may superficially seem a good idea in terms of 'law and order' we should remember that phrase is usually designed to deceive.

'Law and Order' only means attempting to clear up the



social disorder that the Westminster nitwits have themselves created and maintained by their own policies. As they keep on with the pretence of struggling to keep a lid on crime and disorder, more and more of our freedom is eaten away. And the *Daily Mail* readers fall into the trap prepared, accepting less and less liberty in return for protection for their cars and other possessions. A hunk of metal versus Magna Carta, and the hunk of metal wins every time.

Over the past couple of years, increasing numbers of CCTV cameras have been equipped with Automatic Number Plate Recognition technology. By this summer, the system will be linked to a central computer, with the intention of nationwide coverage, at least in urban areas, on a 24/7 basis.

The ostensible rationale behind all of this intrusive surveillance is to stop car thieves, insurance dodgers and highly mobile criminals in general. Records will be kept for two years, which means that 'Big Brother' would be able to work out the habits, contacts and associations of any targeted road user. The authorities, as ever, respond to criticism with the parrot-like claim that "if you have nothing to hide, you have nothing to fear".

But why should the citizens of an allegedly free country submit to being monitored like tagged cattle? We should be more concerned about the 'freedom thieves' in Westminster - who incidentally have already robbed so

New Labour is a greater threat to our freedom than Saddam ever was



Gun ownership whether for sport or self defence is just one of our vanishing freedoms



many people of a meaningful future and decent life - than with any hyped-up threat from travelling crooks rushing up and down the motorway.

As if this development were not bad enough on its own, another strand of our enslavement unfolds with the growing national DNA database. The Court of Appeal ruled a few years ago that DNA samples would be retained even from people acquitted by the courts. The police now hold over 3,000,000 samples; that figure includes 24,000 juveniles unconvicted of any crime. As Conservative MP Grant Shapps has said, the Government's objective is "to create a DNA database by stealth."

Those who claim that this is necessary for crime prevention should be reminded of Benjamin Franklin's dictum: "They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety."

SOCIAL CONTROL

Much of what passes as crime prevention and reduction is in fact little more than social control, in the master and slave setup which is gradually shaping up in dear old 'democratic' Britain and America. It is largely administered to the inhabitants of sink estates, as depicted in the TV series *Shameless*. By the way, those who are now seen as a 'problem' are the descendants of those who survived the Somme and Jutland. Petty theft should be seen as less disturbing than a pro-big business regime whose dodgy deals, deceits and evasions remain, as yet, mostly hidden away.

If the largely drug-fuelled crime wave doesn't make the people accept restrictions, then the threat of terrorism is always invoked. But anyone with sense should realise that that particular problem is caused by two factors: namely a foreign policy which slavishly follows the crazy US neo-con agenda for reordering the Middle East, and secondly a domestic policy that allows almost unlimited immigration.

The Government is still pushing hard for the introduction of expensive ID cards. It is also planned that the wretched cards will carry a chip whose frequencies could be read by special scanners, enabling the tracking of people on the ground, in buildings and in the high street.

For once I agree with President Bush. There really are wicked people who hate our freedom - or what remains of it. But they are not in Iraq or Afghanistan.

Red White and Blue Family Festival 2006 - August 11th - 12th



Tickets on Sale NOW!

£15

Single Ticket

£25

Family Ticket

If booked in advance, tickets on the gate are £25 for a single and £30 for a family.

Tickets are available direct from the treasurer's office or from your branch organiser.

deputy-treasurer@bnp.org.uk
PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW
Please make cheques or postal orders payable to 'British National Party'



BOOK REVIEW:

Anglo-Saxon Attitudes

» Review by Chris Beverley

» There has been a resurgence of interest in all things Anglo-Saxon in recent years, at least amongst certain sections of the nationalist community in Britain, and mainly England. The independent publishing house Anglo-Saxon Books has been at the forefront of the fight to give proper English history the airing it deserves. In this latest offering we are introduced to the study of early English history, described here as Anglo-Saxonism, and the way in which Anglo-Saxonism has changed over time.


Hilton amusingly describes the chosen settling-place of the people who he calls the obtuse Angles, who for some reason ended up settling in cold wet England, whilst our fellow Germanic barbarians the Franks, Goths and Vandals thought better of such a move and instead migrated south into Italy, Gaul, Spain, and even North Africa, "where they lived like Romans, enjoying the sunshine, the wine, and the olives."

At first glance we may think that our barbarian cousins had the best idea in heading for milder climates, but the fact that it would be a feat indeed to find today a thriving Frankish, Gothic or Vandal community in any of the aforementioned lands, or indeed anywhere else on earth, suggests that the early English may have made the right decision in their choice of homeland after all. The English, at least in the early years of the 21st Century, are still recognisable as a living nation and it is our job to ensure that the English do not go the same way as the Franks, Goths, and Vandals; peoples who today are only to be found in the pages of history books.

This is not a book about the Anglo-Saxons, but a book about books about Anglo-Saxons. Logically enough we begin with the ancient sources and Hilton describes what such writers as Tacitus had to say on the matter, and Gibbon's *Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire* is also examined in this context.

The Levellers and Diggers are mentioned, for Anglo-Saxonism was for them an extremely useful propaganda tool that supported the theory of the Norman Yoke. The Anglo-Saxonism of William Morris is similarly examined, which will be of huge interest to all nationalists but particularly those of a socialist disposition. Morris was a great scholar who studied Old Norse and the Norse sagas, and translated the *Volsung Saga* into English, and his socialism took great inspiration from what Hilton describes as the primitive Anglo-Saxon commonwealths.

As we pass through the ages we meet many great men and are introduced to their contribution to the understanding of Anglo-Saxonism. We inevitably meet such characters as Jakob Grimm and J.R.R. Tolkien but also Lewis Carroll, whose seemingly nonsensical poem



Jabberwocky is in fact reminiscent of Anglo-Saxon alliteration in parts. Through Kipling, G.K. Chesterton and Father Andrew Phillips we reach the present day, and Hilton manages to include a bizarre quote found on the internet which mentions our very own British National Party. The book ends with a useful appendix listing the key elements of Democratic Procedure, containing the terms which anyone who has sat through a council or union meeting will recognise all too well.

All in all this is a great little book that I would highly recommend. If you are already an avid Anglo-Saxonist then this book will help to put what you know in its historical context and perhaps show you some of this subject in a different light. If you are new to the study of the history and historiography of the English then this book will serve as a fascinating introduction to the subject. It will also introduce you to other useful works that have been written on this subject. Many of these are sold through Excalibur and a list of the most important ones can be found on our website. *Anglo-Saxon Attitudes* is an enlightening and informative book and will be of interest to everyone with an interest in the English and their great history. Let us ensure that the Anglo-Saxonism of the 21st Century is remembered not as the domain of academics and hobbyists but is instead rightly seen as being a key driving force in our nation's rebirth.

Anglo-Saxon Attitudes

By J.A. Hilton

Hb, 2006, 59pp, £11 (inc. P&p)

This book is available from Excalibur,
PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW.
Please make cheques payable to 'Excalibur'.



RED WHITE & BLUE FAMILY FESTIVAL

RWB 2005

The DVD

AVAILABLE NOW FROM EXCALIBUR

PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW. Please make cheques payable to 'Excalibur'

June 2006

New Release



**Great Speeches,
Live Music,
All the Fun of
the RWB**

£22

(Inc P&P)

**3 DVD Boxset
Highlights Of
The Whole
Weekend**



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

The Immigration Shambles Threatens Our Future

Over the past month we have seen one revelation after another of how this government has abandoned all pretence of having an immigration policy and that the roots of the problem go back to previous Tory administrations. This followed on the earlier revelation that more than a thousand foreign criminals - murderers and rapists among them - recommended for deportation had just disappeared into the woodwork.

The fact that our immigration chaos has become a dominant issue in all sections of the media has more than a little to do with the fact that May's local elections saw the BNP's number of councillors increase to 54 and picking up an average vote of over 18% of the poll in the 356 seats contested. Like Margaret Thatcher in the '70s who professed to be "concerned about the problems of immigration" in order to halt the inroads being made into the Tory vote by the National Front, but in office did nothing about it, Blair and the Cameroons are hoping that by empty talk about immigration they will halt the BNP's ballot box march to power.

The confessions made to MPs on the Commons home affairs committee by top civil servant Dave Roberts, who is the Director, Enforcement and Removals, at the Immigration and Nationality Directorate, verified everything the BNP has ever said about the growing immigration problem.

When asked "how many people are in Britain illegally?", his reply was "I haven't the faintest idea". To the question "how many failed asylum seekers are not removed?", his answer was "I haven't got that figure". As a removal man I wouldn't trust him to organise the removal of a drunk from my village pub.

The question of the issue of National Insurance Numbers to foreign nationals also came up at the home affairs committee meeting. Job Centre staff issuing them made no checks about an applicant's immigration status. As many of the staff are of immigrant origin themselves, what do you expect?

Next, came the release of Home Office figures showing that British citizenship has been granted to nearly one million foreign nationals, primarily Caribbean, African and Asian, since Labour came to power in 1997. And you can guarantee that nine out of ten will show their thanks by voting Labour. A record 161,000 obtained a UK passport last year, a 15% increase on 2004, and a further 214,000 lodged applications.

The figures showed that 30 per cent of the new citizens last year were born in Africa and almost 20% were from the Indian sub-continent. The countries with the largest number were India (14,160) and Pakistan (12,615). Significantly, the report said that people born in developed countries such as Australia, America and the EU states were less likely to become British citizens than those born in the Third World.

The above figures reflect the fact that our population is now expected to grow by 5 million by 2020, although the native birth rate continues to fall well below our

reproduction rate. They were defended by Liam Byrne, the immigration minister, who said: "British citizenship should be recognised and celebrated as a crucial stage in integration into British life." Well, you would not expect him to say it celebrated a crucial stage in the elimination of the original British stock.

That we are being eliminated is now beyond doubt, and those of us who have been forecasting that we will become a minority in these islands by 2060 need to bring that figure forward by some 30 years. Here is the simple proof, in the figures released end of April by the Department for Education and Skills in its annual school census. One in five primary school children last year was from an ethnic minority. At 20.6 %, representing almost 700,000 pupils, it was up from 19.3 % the year before.

The majority of our people have seen through New Labour. But can they really expect that Dave Boy and his Notting Hill Cameroons would do anything effective enough to halt this national suicide? The BNP has shown that it has the will and has the growing support to save this nation at the eleventh hour.

Lottery Cash For Man Utd

I never fail to be amazed at the fact that lottery grants are denied to such obviously needy organisations as St.Dunstons, the organisation that looks after blind ex-servicemen and women. We have published many examples of lottery grants being given to organisations that promote multiculturalism, ranging from Afro-Caribbean Lesbian clubs to the £56,000 recently given to the Swindon Asylum Seekers Community.

It was divulged last month that Sports Minister Richard Caborn was "fully involved" in a decision to give more than £1 million of lottery grants to some of Britain's wealthiest companies, including Manchester United and Granada Television. As part of a pilot scheme being run by Sport England North West, Manchester United has been awarded £30,000 to enable it to provide lunchtime fitness sessions for its staff. Granada Television, producer of *Coronation Street*, has received £15,000 towards the cost of a new gym.

One of Britain's top law firms, Halliwells, has been awarded £45,000 so that it can send staff to health clubs.

We cannot do better than to echo the comments of Kate Hoey, the former Labour Sports Minister and one of only a handful of Labour MPs known for talking sense. She said: "I think it's a complete travesty. It's not what the lottery was set up to do."

Spin Doctors And Global Warming

One of the things about this *Nationalist Notebook* is that the opinions expressed are not always necessarily those of the BNP, although they usually are. Therefore, if I say

that I believe spin doctors have sexed up the issue of global warming it is not a reason for BNP members who disagree with me to tender their resignation. You can ask the Chairman to sack me, if you like.

I have never been able to understand why those scientists whose prophesies of doom due to global warming are given so much media attention, rarely, if ever, point out that more than 90% of carbon dioxide emissions are caused by mother nature, through volcanic emissions, etc. (to say nothing of bovine flatulence). Of course, many research scientists would lose their jobs if the problems raised by the increase of CO₂ and other greenhouse gasses were not as dire as predicted. And there are plenty of journalists who use the global warming issue as a propaganda tool to encourage the 'one world' mind set amongst its more gullible readers.

In view of my innate caution on this issue, I was most impressed with a letter from a Robert Pate, published in the *Sunday Telegraph*, May 7 last, on the make-up of the 639 contributors to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, which has been cited by Lord Rees, president of the Royal Society, as fully supporting the Government's approach to global warming.

Mr Pate said that only a small proportion of the IPCC's scientists have conducted any considerable amount of research. He added: "On the other hand, it did have some top grade members, who collectively produced some 200 peer-reviewed books and articles. They have left in disgust at the shenanigans at the panel - including the *ad hominem* attacks to force some members to produce 'correct' answers."

Now if you are beginning to question your perceived views on global warming, how about these further observations from Robert Pate?

"I have a copy of an original draft report. At the foot of each page is an instruction that the information must not be communicated to the media. Why so?"

"When the scientists go home along come the spin merchants to make the material easier for the public. My copy shows sentences scored out and new ones inserted. It shows alterations to words to produce the desired green effect."

Aladdin's Cave of Human Rights

A few more words on that refuge of free-loaders and terrorists, 'Human Rights' (also commented upon in this issue by David Hamilton in his article on *Asylum Invaders*), which was again brought into prominence by the judicial ruling that nine Afghan hijackers who came to Britain by diverting a plane to Stansted could not be repatriated. This was despite their crime of armed hijacking, and despite the displacement of the Taliban regime from which they claimed to be fleeing.

BNP speakers and writers, including your editor, have tended to concentrate on the need for Britain to withdraw from parts of the European Convention on Human Rights and withdraw from the 1951 UN Convention on Refugees. By signing up to these two conventions it was never intended that people who have come to this country and are committing crimes and

threatening the lives of our people should be afforded this protection. What has done the most damage is the Human Rights Act, passed by New Labour in the flush of their 1997 victory, and almost universally supported by Conservative and Lib Dem politicians. Will any reader who can recall the name of a prominent politician who criticised the Bill in 1997 please enlighten me?

This Human Rights Act doesn't seem to have much to do with the human rights of law abiding people. Its overwhelming use is by foreigners and general scoundrels. We have seen it used by illegal immigrants to avoid deportation on the grounds that their home countries lack our healthcare facilities and by prisoners to demand hard core pornography in their cells. Its most dangerous aspect was summarised in these words from the editorial of the *Daily Telegraph*, May 13:

"At the same time, they (the voters) have seen an unprecedented assault on traditional British freedoms: habeas corpus, jury trials, free speech. The penny is beginning to drop that our judiciary is using the corpus of international human rights to advance an agenda that would never be approved by elected politicians."

Gay Sex Advice For Schoolchildren

I have known many homosexuals, and a few lesbians, and although they did not share our majority view that a heterosexual life is the best for the family and the nation, I enjoyed the company of many and never felt disposed to abusing them (verbally, that is!) And that is not just because quite a number are known to vote BNP. In fact, I have welcomed some of the legislation over the past decade or so that removed the old persecution.

Having said that, Britain and the West have been subjected to an intensifying campaign to accept homosexuality as being an alternative life style and being perfectly 'normal' - which it is not. Well in the forefront have been the Churches, with the Anglican Church now facing a major split over the sanctioning of 'gay marriages', preferably officiated over by gay bishops.

Starting with Scotland, and no doubt it will then spread to England and Wales, official guidance on how to teach schoolchildren about gay sex is being issued, now that the laws banning the promotion of homosexuality have been abolished. Teachers will be told they can discuss issues including safe gay sex and where to get advice on homosexual relationships.

According to *Scotland on Sunday*, senior health officials in Scotland have said that the current sex education guidelines need to be expanded because they are "heterosexist". Is that to be the new political swearword, to be added to the pantheon of 'racist', 'fascist', 'ageist', etc?

The move has been condemned by the Catholic Church in Scotland as "appalling, outrageous and utterly unnecessary". If we say that we fully agree, no doubt we shall be accused by some of being secret "Papists" (which I am not), and pushing the Catholic cause. I am merely trying to push the cause of common sense - and I am sure that more than a few homosexuals will agree with me.

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

Support From Australia

Jim Roberts
Melbourne

» Training For Our Councillors

C. Buchanan
Amber Valley

No Extremist Councillors

We do not need such people to represent the BNP! They are now in a position to do our prospects more harm than ever before. In his two most recent essays in the Chairman's Column, Nick Griffin has launched an outright attack on the residue of neo-nazism and anti-semitism still to be found in the nationalist movement; he has stated quite clearly that these pathological delusionists are not wanted in the Party. Above all we do not want such dinosaurs being selected by regions as candidates in local elections. It is such wacky weirdos who could destroy all local gains with one misplaced rant about

holocaust denial, or "Hitler wasn't all bad"; the press is ever on the alert for such maunderings. In the past great swathes of potential supporters were alienated from voting BNP because they felt a sense of shame in associating themselves with such bad, humourless, foolish delusionists.

Robert Dewar
Buckinghamshire

>> More Immigration

A further wave of immigration is about to hit our poor country. Spain is now at the forefront, with large numbers of sub-Saharan Africans arriving in a 'Camp of the Saints' invasion of the Canary Islands. And we are told by BBC Radio 4 that they have no wish to stay in the Canaries; their final objectives are Germany, France and Britain.

Tony Blair is currently so unnerved by the situation (or perhaps, by the resulting rise in popularity of the British National Party - Ed) that he has said that all rejected asylum seekers will be sent back to any country - a panicky statement that was quickly denied by one of his minions on the 'Today' programme.

Inch by slow inch, we are all having to admit that we are 'racist'!

Michael Ingrams
Orpington, Kent

>> Who's The Idiot?

It's not Tony Blair who is the idiot, but the millions who keep voting for him. Blair could not care less about deporting immigrants who "might face death in their own country". The real reasons are:

1. He needs cheap foreign labour (which undercuts native British wages and salaries).
2. He needs votes - vote rigging.

If Mustaf Jamma had been deported as an illegal immigrant, WPC Sharon Beshenivsky would still be alive. Jamma lied about facing death, then fled to Somalia to escape prosecution here, making fools of the Home Office, the Police and MI5. In my young days if you murdered a police officer you were always

hanged. Mustaf Jamma, and many more like him, should face death here in Britain at the end of a rope. If they face execution in their own homeland it is beyond Home Office jurisdiction and not our problem. The hypocrisy of such pseudo-altruism is frustrating and nauseating in the extreme.

The liberal-left media, including the *Daily Mail*, continue to vilify the British National Party as "loathsome", "Nazis" and "Fascists", but "you cannot fool all of the people all of the time". They are beginning to see through the media lies at last, and through Blair, with his red Communist tie.

Andrew K. Murray
Birmingham

>> Schools Increasingly Non-White

Several recent newspaper reports have divulged that the proportion of ethnic minority pupils in English state primary schools has increased from 18.3% last year to 20.6% this year. This means that the ethnic minority proportion has grown by 2% in just one year!

If that rate of increase persists the majority of pupils in primary schools - our future population - will be non-white in just thirteen years.

J.R. Bell
Slough

>> Recommended Internet Reading

Understanding the frequent desire of new BNP members and supporters to gain insight into the ideas of modern nationalism, I have compiled the following list of articles appearing on the internet, in the hope they might prove useful.

Those without the time to read lengthy articles and books about Islam should read Srdja Trifkovic, *Islam and the West: The Threat, The Defence*. To be found on www.chroniclesmagazine.com

On the subject of human intelligence, the *RightNow* interview with Richard Lynn is clear and succinct. Lynn, *Mentioning the Unmentionable* : *RightNow* Issue 9, Oct 1995. www.right-now.org

Also on intelligence: *Solving The African IQ Conundrum: "Winning Personality" Mask Low Scores*, by J. Philippe Rushton, www.VDARE.com

For a grounding in ecology and population problems, James Garrett Hardin's seminal article *The Tragedy of the Commons*, first published in *Science* Dec 13, 1968 can be found on www.garretthardinsociety.org

American Renaissance Vol 10, No 11, Nov 1999, contains an excellent and lucid article by the lone, brave British academic Prof Frank Ellis, *Multiculturalism and Marxism*. www.asmren.com

MigrationWatch Pocket Guides www.migrationwatchuk.org. These Pocket Guides are extremely concise a real godsend for us time-challenged people working for a living.

James Agutter
Oxford

Excalibur, the BNP's merchandising wing, also stocks a wide range of books that are essential reading for nationalists. Either write to PO Box 116, Leeds LS27 9WW, or go to the BNP website, www.bnp.org.uk then click on 'shop', then 'books', which are listed by categories

>> Football Fans Are Easy Targets

There are hundreds of released foreign prisoners on the loose who should have been deported, not to mention those Afghan hijackers. Yet the Powers That Be admit that there is a slim to no chance of their being apprehended.

The World Cup is now upon us and we see that the British and German police are at the ports, airports and various continental crossings looking for 'suspected' football hooligans. Easy targets? Never mind the fact that many Continental fans are ten times worse than ours nowadays. Our football fans face a similar problem as the British National Party; it is very difficult to change the perceived image in the face of the (dare I say) biased media.

Charles Wentworth
E-mail




June 2006

Christianity

Christianity in Britain: Self Harm or Suicide? PART 2

» *Christianity in Britain is collapsing, its leaders are in denial and its adherents downcast. Islam waits impatiently to administer the coup de grace. In this second part of his four part article John Maddox says that Christian leaders, of all denominations, fail to realise they have a fight on their hands.*



» Far from joining Christianity in its orgy of self-destruction, the other major world religions are all vigorously defending their territory. In Sri Lanka, Buddhist monks are leading a sometimes violent campaign against Christianity and the law has been changed to entrench Buddhism. In India, Hinduism is flexing its muscles, aiming to give a more distinct Hindu character to the world's largest secular democracy and to face down its sizeable Muslim minority. Islam, of course, recognises no barriers to its expansion. For Islam, the world is divided into two camps: the House of Islam (i.e. those countries which have already been conquered) and the House of War (all the remaining countries where the struggle is ongoing to establish the supremacy of Islam).

And let no one be under any illusion about the fate of Christians and other religious minorities in Muslim countries. In even relatively secularised Muslim states such as Egypt, Christians are second-class

citizens, discriminated against in employment, casually mistreated by the majority Muslim population, routinely refused permission to build churches or social centres or even repair existing ones. In stricter Muslim countries such as Pakistan or Iran, Christians are actively persecuted including through the courts; Christian women and girls are raped, forcible conversion is practised and woe betide a Muslim who converts to Christianity - the penalty is death, a penalty upheld by nearly all Muslim religious authorities since the time of Mohammed.

RELIGIOUS GENOCIDE

Or again, take the Sudan where a war of religious genocide has been waged by the Muslim government against its own Christian and animist minorities for many years, largely unreported or misreported as a 'humanitarian' problem by our ideologically-blindfolded media organisations such as the BBC and largely ignored also by

our Christian churches who simply do not 'do' Muslim thuggery. It is completely foreign to Islam to promote the principles of multiculturalism, of 'inclusivity', 'tolerance' and 'diversity' - it merely takes advantage of these things so freely proffered by foolish Christian host societies, waiting for the day when by sheer weight of numbers and intimidation it can remake these societies into model Muslim theocracies.

Thankfully, there are a handful of Christian aid organisations which stand out against this wilful self-censorship by Christian leaders in Europe when it comes to admitting the grinding daily truth about the situation of Christian minorities in Muslim countries. An organisation which does particularly fine work, backing up its charitable projects with scholarly and well-documented research into the Muslim oppression of religious minorities, is the Barnabas Fund (The Old Rectory, River Street, Pewsey, Wiltshire SN9 5DB. Telephone: 08700 603 900; e mail: info@

barnabasfund.org; website: www.barnabasfund.org). I would urge everyone to read its publications or visit its website to learn the truth as to what life is like for non-Muslims in Muslim-dominant societies. Sadly, the Barnabas Fund is now increasingly busy reporting the growth of Muslim intolerance of the traditions of the indigenous peoples of the British Isles, such as been the disastrous growth of Muslim numbers in the UK over the past forty years.

ATTACKS ON UK CHURCHES

It would be wrong to be under the illusion that such things cannot happen in the UK. In November 2003 the Barnabas Fund reported that a Christian chapel in Nelson, Lancashire, had been burned to the ground by Muslim youths chanting, 'Where is your Jesus now?' Churches in Birmingham and Bradford have been attacked; war memorials in several northern towns and villages have been vandalised and their crosses smashed.

And this is just the tip of the iceberg. It is astonishing how little of this violence is reported within the UK, even locally. It will only get worse as the Muslim colonies in Britain grow rapidly, the international war on terror stumbles on and our Christian leaders continue to perform somersaults rather than admit that they have a fight on their hands. To be frank, they have already decided to throw in the towel.

But let us also admit that Islam in the UK is winning increasing numbers of indigenous converts. Given its poor international image and its dismissive treatment of women and freedom of thought, why should this be? Well, the bible of liberal, politically-correct Christianity in the UK is the Roman Catholic intellectual weekly, *The Tablet*. On 3 July 2004 it published an article by William Dalrymple which analysed this very question. It stated, "Like evangelical Christianity and Hinduism, British Islam successfully preserves the traditional conservative values that in this country once used to be associated exclusively with Christianity: an emphasis on the family, chastity before marriage, respect for elders and weekly attendance at religious services, as well as sitting down to various important religious feasts." And yet

these are precisely the values - including abhorrence of homosexual practices and abortion - that *The Tablet*, much of the rest of the Christian religious press, many mainstream Church leaders and almost the entire secular media and educational establishment, sneer at and denounce week in and week out as being 'restrictive', 'outdated' and inimical to the absolute right of everyone, even minors, to make 'informed choices'.

If you can spot an approving tone in the quotation I have just given, you would not be mistaken. In the loopy world of liberal secularised Christianity, it is *de rigueur* to be approving of those very virtues in Islam such as decorum, respect, deference, self-control and submission (which is the very meaning of the word 'Islam') - which are denounced ferociously in Christianity as being white, paternalist, hierarchical, middle-class and historically-determined impositions. Never look to a liberal Christian for consistency! And don't expect a reliable ally in the struggle for truth either.

So, we find ourselves in a situation where, after fifty years of mass

immigration, collapsing indigenous and 'buoyant' immigrant birthrates, Western Europe is now changing rapidly out of all recognition. The indigenous populations are unhappy about this but their Christian 'leaders' do not care. In the UK, our Christian leaders promote this change and are even prepared to argue, quite dishonestly, that Christendom never really existed or should not have existed, and that Britain has always been a multicultural society.

BRAVE ATTEMPT

They will also argue that the Crusades were a homicidal landgrab by militarised Christian thugs rather than a brave attempt to take back the Holy Land and liberate its indigenous population from the Muslim invaders. (Never forget that the Christian population in the Holy Land was in the majority at the time of the first Crusade: it was controlled by the boot of the Muslim military occupation. The Crusades failed because of the over-extended supply lines needed in those pre-motorised transport days).

Now in the UK we have, officially,

WHITE MUSLIM CONVERT: The traditionalism of Islam gives it an appeal to many that the churches have thrown away





1.8 million Muslims (the true figure, allowing for mass illegal immigration and government fiddling of the census figures, is probably more like 2.5 to 3 million) and around 1,500 mosques (just 15 years ago there were only a handful). There is constant pressure from the Government and its proxies such as the Commission for Racial Equality to cede more ground - both physically and intellectually - to the Muslims whilst requiring nothing in return. Church after church is falling into Muslim hands, despite the fact that worldwide Islam has never willingly ceded a single mosque to be turned

City Council even proposes to build a school in Pakistan so that those many Pakistani children taken out of school illegally by their parents for extended visits to Pakistan can continue with their studies!

You really couldn't make up some of these instances of self-abasement by our ruling liberal intellectual and political elite! However, these apparently moderate spokesmen for Islam are never asked to account for the outrageously thuggish behaviour of their religious compatriots in country after country across the globe, so that the respected church charity, Aid to the

XXIII, was meant to institute an *aggiornamento* - a freshening up - in the Roman Catholic Church without fundamentally changing anything. Instead, the council was hijacked by an elite of European and American bishops who tried to push through several revolutions in the way the Catholic Church thought about itself, worshipped and related to the world and other religions. In some aspects they succeeded, in others they failed, but in the field of religious liberty they were spectacularly successful.

On 7th December 1965 the Declaration on Religious Freedom was promulgated. This overturned Catholic teaching from its earliest days. Up to this point, the Catholic Church had consistently taught that it alone had the fullness of religious truth by virtue of its being the body founded by its master, the God-Man Jesus Christ. Anything but this truth is by definition error, and error, because it is false, cannot have rights. All religions except Christianity are man-made and therefore false. Therefore they cannot have rights.

RELIGIOUS TOLERATION

But, historically, the Catholic Church has allowed other religions and other Christian bodies to flourish. Why? Because the Catholic Church is a 'prudential' body, i.e. it sees no point in entering a conflict it cannot win. Therefore in those instances where other religions or Christian bodies were too strong to suppress, it tolerated them without in any way admitting the truth of their claims. And this policy of religious toleration was the settled policy of the Catholic Church over the centuries.

All this was turned on its head by the Declaration on Religious Freedom. The declaration stated that all men have inalienable rights by virtue of being human. One of these is the right freely to follow the dictates of conscience both in religious and non-religious matters. This right is so fundamental, the declaration states, that: "In consequence the right to this immunity [from coercion] continues to exist even in those who do not live up to their obligation of seeking the truth and adhering to it."

Sounds innocuous? Why then was there such a huge tussle at the Council over this declaration? Because many

ST. PAUL'S SQUARE: The light of Christianity is being dimmed all over Europe



into a church. Muslim spokesmen (always men), carefully garbed in suit and tie to mask the radical demands they make on our indigenous society, are encouraged to brandish their shopping list for everything required to advance their cause in the 'House of War': State-aided Muslim schools; state-aided training for Muslim clerics; employers forced to fund prayer rooms for Muslims; to allow religious dress and time off for religious holidays for Muslims; to allow extended leave for regular visits home to Pakistan for those hundreds of thousands of Muslims who maintain dual British-Pakistani citizenship (so much for their commitment to Britain!). Manchester

Church in Need, declared 2003 to be the worst year ever for the killing of Christians and Christian aid workers abroad the vast majority of them at the hands of Muslim militants. Can you imagine a Christian leader in this country being left unharmed by our liberal media if the situation were reversed?

Having set the scene, let me return once more to the question, how did the Christian churches land themselves in this disaster?

One of the key factors in what has been described as the 'auto-destruction' of Christianity was the Second Vatican Council of 1962-5. This council, called by Pope John

The attack on the Twin Towers was also an attack on West



of the Council Fathers realised that in enshrining the right to error the Council was opening a Pandora's Box. And so it has proved to be in both the religious and secular spheres.

The words I have quoted, when 'unpacked', effectively state that a person who deliberately denies or assaults the rights of another person *does not thereby lose any of his own fundamental rights*. This is the very point at which the Catholic Church's revolutionary doctrine of religious freedom cross-fertilised with secular liberal ideology and produced the many-headed hydra of Human Rights theory which is now being used so ruthlessly to strangle any effective resistance by the indigenous populations of Western Europe to the loss of their culture to multiculturalism, of their political freedoms to the tyranny of the European Union and to the loss of their religious identity to the incoming tide of militant Islam.

All the churches of the West have taken the idol of Human Rights to their bosom. If the most fundamental doctrines of Christianity clash with those of Human Rights theory, out they go onto the rubbish dump. This is the reason why so many of the teachings of Jesus Christ are now taboo in his

own church: when he calls for unbelievers to be coerced into the Church, when he warns sinners of the inevitability of their punishment, when he warns mankind that outside of his Church there is no salvation, he is effectively trampling on the rights of others to cling to their errors; therefore, his words have to be censored or turned into mere metaphors by our contemporary church leaders. God the Father has become God the guarantor of human rights.

HUMAN RIGHTS

Hence, if any of the evil monsters who flew their hijacked planes into the Twin Towers in New York in November 2000 in the name of Islam and killed over 2,000 people had survived and been tried and sentenced to death by the US authorities, Western Church leaders would have been leading campaigns to save their lives and 'protect their rights'; if Saddam Hussain is condemned to death for his myriad wicked acts by his own compatriots, Western Church leaders, if they are consistent, will campaign to save his life and guarantee his rights.

Why cannot the hook-handed brute, Abu Hamza, after serving his

time in prison, be peremptorily flung out of the UK and stripped of his citizenship for preaching the most foul hatred against infidels and Jews? Because this Labour Government has tied its hands with its preposterous Human Rights Act which requires it to give precedence to Abu Hamza's human rights even though he explicitly preaches doctrines and calls for actions which deny the human rights of others. One can never lose one's own rights even when denying the rights of others!

The Christian churches in the West no longer preach historic Christian doctrine or morality: they preach *niceness* in season and out of season. And they would much rather that those innumerable people in our fractured Western societies whose rights have been compromised by rampant crime or mindless vandalism or by Muslim terrorism should continue to have their rights trampled on than that one of their tormentors should himself have his own rights abrogated.

To give another example: when Ian Huntley, the notorious child sex killer of Soham, tore up the rights of Holly Wells and Jessica Chapman and murdered them, he did not thereby lose a single one of his own fundamental rights, including the right to life and protection from 'cruel or degrading punishment'.

If one of those rights had been infringed by either of the girls or their parents or the authorities, Huntley would have had and still has a right to sue and they would have faced the possibility of punishment.

Most sensible people understand that he abrogated his own rights when he destroyed those of others and that it would be a blessing to society and to himself if he had been hanged. Our deep-minded Christian leaders beg to differ. But the consequence of their abstract theorising and building of intellectual utopias - so removed from the real moral concerns of real people in the real world - is that the people have deserted the Christian churches, leaving them in an unholy alliance with the radical and aggressive atheists of our liberal-intellectual elite, all dancing together at the gleaming totem of human rights. The Second Vatican Council certainly has a lot to answer for!

Continued Next Month

Help Us Keep The Ball Rolling!

The BNP is on a roll! Against all the odds, we've already achieved more than all the other parties in British nationalist history put together. And, as you've read in this issue, we intend to achieve much, much more.

We are preparing Activists' and Organisers' Handbooks, which will have to be printed; we are making full time posts of both Group Development and Group Support; we are working on a special introductory DVD for individuals to use for personal recruitment. All these and other projects have to be completed if we are to fulfil the British National Party's fantastic potential.

But political and organisation progress like this costs money more than comes in through normal donations and income. So we're asking every ID reader who can afford it to make a special effort to help us keep the ball rolling.



Yes! I'm over the moon about the BNP's May '06 Election Breakthrough and understand the urgent need for fresh funds to consolidate these gains.

☐ **£10** ☐ **£25** ☐ **£1000** ☐ **£50** ☐ **£100**

☐ **Other:**

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;
80 - £110; 100 - £135

Postage - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100